arXiv:1701.05106v1 [math.PR] 18 Jan 2017

A note on BSDEs and SDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients*

Shiqiu Zheng[1,2†], Gaofeng Zong[3‡]

(1, College of Applied Sciences, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China)
(2, College of Sciences, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan 063009, China)
(3, School of Mathematics and Quantitative Economics,
Shandong University of Finance and Economics, Jinan 250014, China)

Abstract: This paper introduces a class of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs), whose coefficients not only depend on the value of its solutions of the present but also the past and the future. For a sufficiently small time delay or a sufficiently small Lipschitz constant, the existence and uniqueness of such BSDEs is obtained. As the adjoint process, a class of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) is introduced, whose coefficients also depend on the present, the past and the future of its solutions. The existence and uniqueness of such SDEs is proved for a sufficiently small time advance or a sufficiently small Lipschitz constant. A duality between such BSDEs and SDEs is established.

Keywords: Backward stochastic differential equation, stochastic differential equation, time delayed coefficients, time advanced coefficients, comparison theorem

AMS Subject Classification: 60H10

1 Introduction

Since the general backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) was introduced by Pardoux and Peng [8], the theory of BSDEs has gone through rapid development in many different areas, such as stochastic control, partial differential equations, mathematical finance, etc. Peng and Yang [11] introduced a type of BSDEs, whose coefficients depend not only on the values of solutions of the present but also the future. Such BSDEs is always called anticipated BSDEs, and has been further studied in many literatures (see [12][14][15][18], etc.). Delong and Imkeller [5, 6] introduced a type of BSDEs whose coefficients depend not only on the values of solutions of the present but also the past. Such time delayed BSDEs has been further studied in many literatures (see [1][2][4][17], etc.). The two types of BSDEs have been applied in many problems arising from finance and stochastic control. It is natural to consider the BSDEs with time

*The first author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11571024) and Natural Science Foundation of Beijing (No. 1132008). The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11501325).

†E-mail: shiqiumath@163.com (S. Zheng).
‡E-mail: gf_zong@126.com (G. Zong).

advanced and delayed coefficients, i.e. the coefficients of such BSDEs not only depends on the value of its solutions of the present but also the past and the future. In fact, Cheridito and Nam [3] had studied BSDEs whose coefficients can depend the whole path of the solutions in a very general case. In this paper, we study BSDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients in a more concrete case. We consider the coefficient has the form: $g(t, \{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}, \{z_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$, where $l \geq 0$ and $u \geq 0$ are the time delayed parameter and the time advanced parameter respectively. For a sufficiently small time delay l or a sufficiently small Lipschitz constant, the existence and uniqueness of solution of such BSDEs is obtained. Our result is independent on the terminal time T, this is different from the result in Delong and Imkeller [5] for time delayed BSDEs.

Stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with time delayed coefficients is classic in SDEs theory (see Mao [7]). Recently, to study stochastic maximum principle, Chen and Huang [2] introduces a type of SDEs with time advanced coefficients, whose coefficients depend on the future of its solutions. In this paper, we introduce a type of SDEs, whose coefficients not only depend on the value of its solutions of the present but also the past and the future. We consider the coefficient with the form: $b(t, \{X_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$ and $\sigma(t, \{X_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$, where $l \geq 0$ and $u \geq 0$ are the time delayed parameter and the time advanced parameter respectively. For a sufficiently small time advance u or a sufficiently small Lipschitz constant, the existence and uniqueness of solution of such SDEs is proved. A duality between such BSDEs and SDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients is obtained.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce BSDEs and SDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients, and find the duality between them. Our proofs of the existence and uniqueness of such BSDEs and SDEs both use the contractive mapping method based on two estimates for Itô's type process, respectively, which is mainly inspired by Peng [10]. Following [10, 11], we also obtain the continuous dependence property and comparison theorems for BSDEs and SDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients. From this paper, one can see an interesting similarity between the results and arguments of such BSDEs and SDEs.

2 BSDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients

In this paper, we consider a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ on which a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is defined. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote the natural filtration generated by $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$, augmented by the P-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $|z|$ denote its Euclidean norm, for $z \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Let $T > 0, l \geq 0, u \geq 0$ be given constants. For $s \in [0, l]$ and $t \in [0, T + u]$, we define the following usual spaces:

$\mathcal{L}([-s, t]; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{f(t) :$ Lebesgue measurable $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued function defined on $[-s, t]\}$;

$\mathcal{C}([-s, t]; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{f(t) :$ continuous function $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued function defined on $[-s, t]\}$;

$L^2(\mathcal{F}_t; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{\xi : \mathcal{F}_t$-measurable $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued random variable such that $E\left[|\xi|^2\right] < \infty\}$;

$L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, t; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{\psi : \mathbf{R}^d$-valued predictable process such that $E\int_0^t |\psi_t|^2 dt < \infty\}$;

$\mathcal{S}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, t; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{\psi :$ continuous process in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, t; \mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $E[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\psi_t|^2] \leq \infty\}$;

$\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-s, t; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{\psi : \ (\psi_r)_{r\in[0,t]} \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, t; \mathbf{R}^d)$ and $(\psi_r)_{r\in[-s,0]} \in \mathcal{L}([-s, 0]; \mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\int_{-s}^0 |f(r)|^2 dr < \infty\}$;

$\overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-s, t; \mathbf{R}^d) = \{\psi : (\psi_r)_{r\in[0,t]} \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, t; \mathbf{R}^d)$ and $(\psi_r)_{r\in[-s,0]} \in \mathcal{C}([-s, 0]; \mathbf{R}^d)\}$.

Note that when $d = 1$, we always denote $L^2(\mathcal{F}_t; \mathbf{R}^d)$ by $L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ for convention and make the same treatment for above notations of other spaces.

In this section, we consider a function g

$$g\left(\omega,t,y,z\right):\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathcal{L}([-l,u])\times\mathcal{L}([-l,u];\mathbf{R}^d)\longmapsto\mathbf{R},$$

such that for each $(y_r,z_r)\in\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$, $(g(t,\{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{z_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}))_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a progressively measurable process. For the function g, we make the following assumptions:

- (A1). There exist a constant $K>0$ and a probability measure λ defined on $[-l,u]$, such that for each $t\in[0,T]$ and each $(y_r,z_r),(\tilde{y}_r,\tilde{z}_r)\in\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&E|g(t,\{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{z_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})-g(t,\{\tilde{y}_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{\tilde{z}_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})|^2\\ \leq\;& KE\left[\int_{-l}^{u}(|y_{t+r}-\tilde{y}_{t+r}|^2+|z_{t+r}-\tilde{z}_{t+r}|^2)\lambda(dr)\right];\end{aligned}$$

- (A2). $g(t,0,0)\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T)$.

Remark 1

- Clearly, the following assumption (A1') implies (A1):
  - (A1'). There exist a constant $K>0$ and a probability measure λ defined on $[-l,u]$, such that for each $t\in[0,T]$ and each $(y_r,z_r),(\tilde{y}_r,\tilde{z}_r)\in\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u;)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&|g(t,\{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{z_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})-g(t,\{\tilde{y}_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{\tilde{z}_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})|^2\\ \leq\;& KE\left[\int_{-l}^{u}(|y_{t+r}-\tilde{y}_{t+r}|^2+|z_{t+r}-\tilde{z}_{t+r}|^2)\lambda(dr)|\mathcal{F}_t\right];\end{aligned}$$

- Is there a function g satisfying our setting? In fact, for each $(y_r,z_r)\in\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$, let

$$g_1(t,\{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{z_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})=\left(E\left[y_{t+u}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]+z_{t-l}\right),\ t\in[0,T],$$

  and

$$g_2(t,\{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{z_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})=E\left[\int_{-l}^{u}(y_{t+r}+z_{t+r})dr|\mathcal{F}_t\right],\ t\in[0,T].$$

  By a predictable projection theorem (see Jacod and Shiryaev [7, page 23]), we can check for each $(y_r,z_r)\in\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$, g_1 and g_2 are both progressively measurable and satisfy (A1) and (A2).

Given $\xi_t\in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T,T+u),\eta_t\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$, in this section, we consider the following BSDE:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}Y_t=\xi_T+\int_t^T g(s,\{Y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{Z_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})ds-\int_t^T Z_s dB_s,\quad t\in[0,T);\\ (Y_t,Z_t)=(\xi_t,\eta_t),\ t\in[T,T+u]\ \text{ and }\ (Y_t,Z_t)=(Y_0,0),\ t\in[-l,0);\\ (Y_t,Z_t)\in\overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d).\end{array}\right.\tag{1}$$

The solution of BSDE (1) is a pair (Y_t,Z_t) satisfying (1). The coefficient $g(s,\cdot,\cdot)$ of BSDE (1) depends on the value of solution on $[s-l,s+u]$. It is clear that the solution of BSDE (1) depends

only on the parameter (g, T, ξ, η, l, u).

From Peng [10, Lemma 3.1], we can get the following Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that $\xi_t \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u), \eta_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$ and $g_0(s) \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T)$. Then the BSDE (1) with coefficient $g_0(s)$ has a unique solution (Y, Z), and the following estimate*

$$|Y_0|^2 e^{-\beta l} + E\left[\int_{-l}^{T} (\frac{\beta}{2}|Y_s|^2 + |Z_s|^2) e^{\beta s} ds\right] \leq E\left[|\xi|^2 e^{\beta T}\right] + \frac{2}{\beta} E\left[\int_0^T |g_0(s)|^2 e^{\beta s} ds\right], \quad (2)$$

holds true for an arbitrary constant $\beta > 0$. We also have

$$E\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |Y_t|^2\right] \leq CE\left[|\xi_T|^2 + \int_0^T |g_0(s)|^2 ds\right], \quad (3)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on T.

Proof. By Peng [10, Lemma 3.1], such BSDE has a unique solution, and for an arbitrary constant $\beta > 0$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$|Y_t|^2 + E\left[\int_t^T (\frac{\beta}{2}|Y_s|^2 + |Z_s|^2) e^{\beta(s-t)} ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \leq E\left[|\xi|^2 e^{\beta T} | \mathcal{F}_t\right] + \frac{2}{\beta} E\left[\int_t^T |g_0(s)|^2 e^{\beta(s-t)} ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right]. \quad (4)$$

Since $Y_s = Y_0,\ s \in [-l, 0)$, we have

$$|Y_0|^2 = |Y_0|^2 e^{-\beta l} + \beta \int_{-l}^{0} |Y_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds. \quad (5)$$

By the fact $Z_s = 0,\ s \in [-l, 0)$, (4) and (5), we can get (2). By BDG inequality and (2), we can get (3). This proof is complete. □

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose that $\xi_t \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u), \eta_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$, and g satisfies (A1) and (A2). If there exists a constant $\beta \geq 2$ such that $\frac{2Ke^{\beta l}}{\beta} < 1$, then BSDE (1) has a unique solution.*

Proof. We will prove this theorem using a contractive method. Clearly, $\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u) \times \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$ is a Banach space with the norm:

$$\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\beta = E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} (|\cdot|^2 + |\cdot|^2) e^{\beta s} ds\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a constant. By Fubini's theorem, (A1) and (A2), for each $(y_t, z_t) \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u) \times \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$, we have

$$E\left[\int_0^T |g(s, \{y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}, \{z_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})|^2 ds\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2E\left[\int_0^T |g(s,0,0)|^2 ds\right] + 2KE\left[\int_0^T \int_{-l}^{u} (|y_{s+r}|^2 + |z_{s+r}|^2)\lambda(dr)ds\right] \\
&\leq 2E\left[\int_0^T |g(s,0,0)|^2 ds\right] + 2KE\left[\int_{-l}^{u} \int_{r}^{T+r} (|y_v|^2 + |z_v|^2)dv\lambda(dr)\right] \\
&\leq 2E\left[\int_0^T |g(s,0,0)|^2 ds\right] + 2KE\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} (|y_v|^2 + |z_v|^2)dv\right] \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1, we can define a mapping ϕ from $\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u) \times \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$ into itself by setting $(Y, Z) := \phi(y, z)$, where (Y, Z) is the solution of the BSDE (1) with coefficient $g(s, \{y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}, \{z_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$. For any $(y_t^1, z_t^1), (y_t^2, z_t^2) \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u) \times \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$, let

$$
(Y^i, Z^i) := \phi(y^i, z^i), \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

We set $(\hat{Y}_t, \hat{Z}_t) := (Y_t^1 - Y_t^2, Z_t^1 - Z_t^2)$ and $(\hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t) := (y_t^1 - y_t^2, z_t^1 - z_t^2)$. By Lemma 3.1, Fubini's theorem and (A1), we can deduce, for an arbitrary constant $\beta > 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} (\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{Y}_s|^2 + |\hat{Z}_s|^2)e^{\beta s}ds\right] \\
\leq\ & \frac{2}{\beta}E\left[\int_0^T |g(s, \{y_{s+r}^1\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}, \{z_{s+r}^1\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}) - g(s, \{y_{s+r}^2\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}, \{z_{s+r}^2\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})|^2 e^{\beta s}ds\right] \\
\leq\ & \frac{2}{\beta}E\left[K\int_0^T \int_{-l}^{u} (|\hat{y}_{s+r}|^2 + |\hat{z}_{s+r}|^2)\lambda(dr)e^{\beta s}ds\right] \\
=\ & \frac{2}{\beta}E\left[K\int_{-l}^{u} \int_0^T (|\hat{y}_{s+r}|^2 + |\hat{z}_{s+r}|^2)e^{\beta s}ds\lambda(dr)\right] \\
=\ & \frac{2}{\beta}E\left[K\int_{-l}^{u} e^{-r}\int_r^{T+r} (|\hat{y}_v|^2 + |\hat{z}_v|^2)e^{\beta v}dv\lambda(dr)\right] \\
\leq\ & \frac{2K}{\beta}e^{\beta l}E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} (|\hat{y}_v|^2 + |\hat{z}_v|^2)e^{\beta v}dv\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if there exists a constant $\beta \geq 2$ such that $\frac{2Ke^{\beta l}}{\beta} < 1$, then there exists a constant $0 < \gamma < 1$, such that

$$
E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} (|\hat{Y}_s|^2 + |\hat{Z}_s|^2)e^{\beta s}ds\right] \leq \gamma E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + |\hat{z}_s|^2)e^{\beta s}ds\right].
$$

Thus, $\|(\hat{Y}_s, \hat{Z}_s)\|_\beta < \sqrt{\gamma}\|(\hat{y}_s, \hat{z}_s)\|_\beta$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 2

- From Theorem 2.2, it is follows that for a sufficiently small l or a sufficiently small K, BSDE (1) has a unique solution. But the uniqueness and existence of solution of BSDE (1) may be not true for arbitrary K and l (see Delong and Imkeller [5, Example 3.1]). Theorem 2.2 is an extension of Delong and Imkeller [5, Theorem 2.1], in which the coefficient depends only on the values of the solution of past, but not on the future. It also generalize the

corresponding results in Peng and Yang [11] in some way, which introduce the BSDEs whose coefficients depend only on the values of the solution of future, but not on the past, under some different conditions.

- From Theorem 2.2, we know the uniqueness and existence of solution of BSDE (1) is not dependent on time horizon T, this is different from the result in Delong and Imkeller [5] for delay BSDEs. Such phenomena had also been discovered in [4] for forward BSDE with time delayed coefficient.

We give a simple example of BSDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients.

Example 2.3 Let $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, the time delay parameter $l = T$ and Lipchitz constant $K < \frac{1}{e^{2T}}$, we consider

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = \xi_T + \int_t^T K(Y_{s-T} + E[Z_{s+u}|\mathcal{F}_s] - Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0,T); \\ (Y_t, Z_t) = (\xi + B_t^2 - t, 2B_t), \ t \in [T, T+u] \ \text{ and } \ (Y_t, Z_t) = (Y_0, 0), \ t \in [-l, 0); \\ (Y_t, Z_t) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u) \times \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d). \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

We firstly consider the delayed BSDE:

$$Y_t^1 = \xi + \int_t^T KY_{s-T}^1 ds - \int_t^T Z_s^1 dB_s, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{7}$$

By Example 3.1 in Delong and Imkeller [5], we can let $Z_s^1 \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the process appearing in the following martingale representation of ξ:

$$\xi = E[\xi] + \int_0^T Z_s^1 dB_s,$$

and

$$Y_t^1 = \frac{1 - tK}{1 - TK} E[\xi] + \int_0^t Z_s^1 dB_s, \quad t \in [0,T],$$

then (Y_t^1, Z_t^1) is a solution of BSDE (7). Now, we consider the advanced BSDE

$$Y_t^2 = B_T^2 - T + \int_t^T K(E[Z_{s+u}^2|\mathcal{F}_s] - Z_s^2)ds - \int_t^T Z_s^2 dB_s, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{8}$$

We can check $(Y_t^2, Z_t^2) = (B_t^2 - t, 2B_t)$ is a solution of BSDE (8). Set $(Y_t, Z_t) := (Y_t^1, Z_t^1) + (Y_t^2, Z_t^2),\ t \in [0,T]$, then by Theorem 2.2, we can get (Y_t, Z_t) is the unique solution of BSDE (6).

The following is a continuous dependence property of the BSDE (1).

Proposition 2.4 *Let $\xi_t, \xi_t' \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u), \eta_t, \eta_t' \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d), \varphi_t, \varphi_t' \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbf{R})$ and g satisfies (A1) and (A2). Let $f(s,\cdot,\cdot) := g(s,\cdot,\cdot) + \varphi_t$ and $f'(s,\cdot,\cdot) := g(s,\cdot,\cdot) + \varphi_t'$. Suppose that there exists a constant $\beta \geq 2$ such that $\frac{4Ke^{\beta l}}{\beta} < 1$. Let (Y,Z) and (Y',Z') be the solutions of BSDE (1) with coefficients f and f', respectively, then for each $t \in [0,T]$, we have*

$$|Y_t - Y_t'|^2 + E\left[\int_t^T (|Y_s - Y_s'|^2 + |Z_s - Z_s'|^2)ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

$$\leq \quad CE\left[|\xi_T-\xi'_T|^2+\int_t^T|\varphi_s-\varphi'_s|^2ds+\int_T^{T+u}(|\xi_s-\xi'_s|^2+|\eta_s-\eta'_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$
$$+C\int_{t-l}^t(|Y_s-Y'_s|^2+|Z_s-Z'_s|^2)ds, \tag{9}$$

where $C>0$ is a constant depending only on T,K,μ and l.

Proof. Set $\hat{Y}=Y-Y'$, $\hat{Z}=Z-Z'$, $\hat{\xi}=\xi-\xi'$ and $\hat{\eta}=\eta-\eta'$. By (4), (A1') and Fubini's theorem, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\hat{Y}_t|^2+E\left[\int_t^T(\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{Y}_s|^2+|\hat{Z}_s|^2)e^{\beta(s-t)}ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\\
\leq\ & E\left[|\xi_T-\xi'_T|^2e^{\beta T}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]+\frac{4}{\beta}E\left[\int_t^T|\varphi_s-\varphi'_s|^2e^{\beta(s-t)}ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\\
&+\frac{4}{\beta}E\left[\int_t^T|g(s,\{Y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{Z_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})-g(s,\{Y'_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},\{Z'_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})|^2e^{\beta(s-t)}ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\\
\leq\ & E\left[|\xi_T-\xi'_T|^2e^{\beta T}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]+\frac{4}{\beta}E\left[\int_t^T|\varphi_s-\varphi'_s|^2e^{\beta(s-t)}ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\\
&+\frac{4Ke^{\beta l}}{\beta}E\left[\int_{t-l}^{T+u}(|\hat{Y}_s|^2+|\hat{Z}_s|^2)e^{\beta(s-t)}ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since there exists a constant $\beta\geq 2$ such that $\frac{4Ke^{\beta l}}{\beta}<1$, from the above inequality, we can get (9). This proof is complete. □

In general, the comparison theorem of BSDE (1) may not true (see Delong and Imkeller [5] and Peng and Yang [11]). But under some restrict condition, we have the following Proposition 2.4. For simplicity, this comparison theorem is established only for BSDE (1), whose coefficents are not independent on z. In fact, this method also works for BSDE (1) with coefficent $g(t,\{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u},z_t)$ depending on the present value of the solution z.

Proposition 2.5 *Let $\xi_t,\xi'_t\in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T,T+u),\eta_t,\eta'_t\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T,T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$ and g,g' are both independent on z and satisfy (A1) and (A2). Suppose that*

(i) $\xi_t\geq\xi'_t$ for each $t\in[T,T+u]$;

(ii) $g(s,\{y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})\geq g'(s,\{y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$ for each $y\in\mathcal{C}([-l,T+u])$, and $g'(s,\{y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})\geq g'(s,\{y'_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$, if $y_r\geq y'_r$ for each $r\in[-l,T+u]$;

(iii) there exists a constant $\beta\geq 2$ such that $\frac{2Ke^{\beta l}}{\beta}<1$.

Let (Y,Z) and (Y',Z') be the solutions of BSDEs (1) with parameters (g,ξ,η) and (g',ξ',η'), respectively. Then for each $t\in[-l,T+u]$, we have $Y_t\geq Y'_t$.

Proof. We use an iteration method from Peng and Yang [10]. By Theorem 2.2, the BSDE (1) with coefficient $(g'(s,\{Y_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}),\xi',\eta')$ has a unique solution (Y^1,Z^1). By (ii) and comparison theorem (see Peng [10]), we have $Y_t\geq Y^1_t$. By Theorem 2.2, the BSDE (1) with coefficient $(g'(s,\{Y^1_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}),\xi',\eta')$ has a unique solution (Y^2,Z^2). Since $Y_t\geq Y^1_t$, by (ii) and comparison theorem, we have $Y^1_t\geq Y^2_t$. Similarly, for $n>2$, the BSDE (1) with coefficient $(g'(s,\{Y^{n-1}_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}),\xi',\eta')$ has a unique solution (Y^n,Z^n) and $Y^{n-1}_t\geq Y^n_t$. Setting

$\hat{Y}^n = Y^n - Y^{n-1},\ \hat{Z}^n = Z^n - Z^{n-1}$, by Lemma 2.1 and the same treatment in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u}(\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{Y}_s^n|^2 + |\hat{Z}_s^n|^2)e^{\beta s}ds\right] \\ \leq\ & \frac{2}{\beta}E\left[\int_0^T |g'(s,\{Y_{s+r}^{n-1}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}) - g'(s,\{Y_{s+r}^{n-2}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})|^2 e^{\beta s}ds\right] \\ \leq\ & \frac{2K}{\beta}e^{\beta l}E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u}|\hat{Y}_s^{n-1}|^2 e^{\beta s}ds\right], \end{aligned}$$

By (iii) and the above inequality, we can deduce that there exists a constant $0 < \gamma < 1$, such that

$$E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u}(|\hat{Y}_s^n|^2 + |\hat{Z}_s^n|^2)e^{\beta s}ds\right] \leq \gamma^{n-1}E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u}(|\hat{Y}_s^1|^2 + |\hat{Z}_s^1|^2)e^{\beta s}ds\right]$$

By this inequality, we get that $(Y^n, Z^n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then by (A1), we can easily show the limit of $(Y^n, Z^n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the solution of BSDEs (1) with parameter (g', ξ', η'). Thus $Y^n \searrow Y',\ dt\times dP - a.s.$ In view of $Y_t \geq Y_t^1$, we have $Y \geq Y',\ dt\times dP - a.s.$ The proof is complete. □

Now, we give a slightly generalization of Theorem 2.2. We consider the function g :

$$g\,(\omega, t, y, z) : \Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathcal{L}([-l, T+u])\times\mathcal{L}([-l, T+u];\mathbf{R}^d) \longmapsto \mathbf{R},$$

such that for each $(y_r, z_r) \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$, $(g(t,\{y_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u}, \{z_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u}))_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a progressively measurable process. We make the following assumptions:

- (A1"). There exists a constant $K_0 > 0$, such that $\forall(y_r, z_r), (\tilde{y}_r, \tilde{z}_r) \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[\int_0^T |g(t,\{y_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u}, \{z_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u}) - g(t,\{\tilde{y}_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u}, \{\tilde{z}_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u})|^2 dt\right] \\ \leq\ & K_0 E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u}|y_t - \tilde{y}_t|^2 + |z_t - \tilde{z}_t|^2 dt\right]; \end{aligned}$$

- (A2"). $g(t, 0, 0) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T)$;

Clearly, If g satisfies (A1) and (A2), then g satisfies (A1") and (A2"), but the converse is not ture. For examples, $\forall(y_r, z_r) \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u)\times\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u;\mathbf{R}^d)$, let

$$g_3(t,\{y_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}, \{z_{t+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}) = E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u}(y_r + z_r)dr|\mathcal{F}_t\right],\ t\in[0,T].$$

Clearly, g_3 is a martingale on $[0, T]$, thus has a progressive measurable version. It satisfies (A1") and (A2"), but does not satisfies (A1).

Given $\xi_t \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u), \eta_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$, we consider the following BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = \xi_T + \int_t^T g(s, \{Y_r\}_{-l\le r\le T+u}, \{Z_r\}_{-l\le r\le T+u})ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T); \\ (Y_t, Z_t) = (\xi_t, \eta_t),\ t \in [T, T+u], \ \text{ and } \ (Y_t, Z_t) = (Y_0, 0),\ t \in [-l, 0); \\ (Y_t, Z_t) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u) \times \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d). \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

The similar proof as Theorem 2.2 can give the following Theorem 2.6. We omit its proof here.

Theorem 2.6 *Suppose that $\xi_t \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u), \eta_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$, and g satisfies (A1") and (A2"). If there exists a constant $\beta \ge 2$ such that $\frac{2K_0 e^{\beta(T+l)}}{\beta} < 1$, then BSDE (10) has a unique solution.*

Remark 3

- From Theorem 2.6, it is follows that for a sufficiently small $T+l$ or for a sufficiently small K_0, BSDE (2) has a unique solution. It generalizes the corresponding results in Yang and Elliott [14] in some way, which introduce the BSDEs whose coefficients depend only on the values of the solution of future, but not on the past, under some different conditions. Cheridito and Nam [3] introduced a BSDE whose coefficient depending the whole path of solution on $[0, T]$ in a very general case. Our result is for a BSDE whose coefficient depending the whole path of solution $[-l, T+u]$.

3 SDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients

In this section, we consider the functions b and σ :

$$b(\omega, t, x) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathcal{L}([-l, u]) \longmapsto \mathbf{R},$$

$$\sigma(\omega, t, x) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathcal{L}([-l, u]) \longmapsto \mathbf{R}^d,$$

such that for each $x_t \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u)$, $(b(t, \{x_{t+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u}))_{t\in[0,T]}$ and $(\sigma(t, \{x_{t+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u}))_{t\in[0,T]}$ are both progressively measurable processes. we make the following assumptions:

- (B1). There exist a constant $K_1 > 0$ and a probability measure λ_1 defined on $[-l, u]$, such that for each $t \in [0, T]$ and each $x_r, \tilde{x}_r \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[|b(t, \{x_{t+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u}) - b(t, \{\tilde{x}_{t+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})|^2 + |\sigma(t, \{x_{t+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u}) - \sigma(t, \{\tilde{x}_{t+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})|^2\right] \\ \le\ & K_1 E\left[\int_{-l}^{u} |x_{t+r} - \tilde{x}_{t+r}|^2 \lambda_1(dr)\right]; \end{aligned}$$

- (B2). $b(t, 0) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T)$ and $\sigma(t, 0) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbf{R}^d)$.

Given $t_0 \in [0, T]$ and $\theta_t \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0 - l, t_0)$, we consider the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t b(s, \{X_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})ds + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(s, \{X_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})dB_s, \quad t \in (t_0, T]; \\ X_t = \theta_t,\ t \in [t_0 - l, t_0] \ \text{ and } \ X_t = X_T,\ t \in (T, T+u]; \\ X_t \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0, T+u), \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

whose solution is $\{X_t\}_{t_0-l\le t\le T+u}$ satisfying (11). The coefficients $b(t,\cdot)$ and $\sigma(t,\cdot)$ of SDE (11) both depend on the values of solution on $[t-l,t+u]$. It is clear that the solution of SDE (11) depends only on parameter (b,σ,T,θ,l,u).

Motivated by Peng [10, Lemma 3.1], we have the following Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.1 *Given $t_0\in[0,T]$ and $\theta_t\in\overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l,t_0)$. Suppose that $b_0(s),\sigma_0(s)\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T)$. Then the SDE with coefficients $b_0(s)$ and $\sigma_0(s)$ has a unique solution X, and the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|X_T|^2e^{-\beta(T+u)}|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}]+\frac{\beta}{2}E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T+u}|X_s|^2e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right] \\ \le\ & |X_{t_0}|^2e^{-\beta t_0}+E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T}(\frac{2}{\beta}|b_0(s)|^2+|\sigma_0(s)|^2)e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

holds true for arbitrary constant $\beta>0$. We also have

$$E\left[\sup_{t_0\le t\le T}|X_t|^2\right]\le CE\left[|X_{t_0}|^2+\int_{t_0}^{T}(|b_0(s)|^2+|\sigma_0(s)|^2)ds\right], \tag{13}$$

where $C>0$ is a constant depending only on T.

Proof. By the classic SDEs theory, this SDE has a unique solution. For an arbitrary constant $\beta>0$, applying Itô's formula for $|X_s|^2e^{-\beta s}$ for $s\in[t_0,t]$, we can deduce for $t\in[t_0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |X_{t_0}|^2e^{-\beta t_0}+E\left[\int_{t_0}^{t}(-\beta|X_s|^2+|\sigma_0(s)|^2)e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right] \\ =\ & E[|X_t|^2e^{-\beta t}|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}]-2E\left[\int_{t_0}^{t}X_sb_0(s)e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right] \\ \ge\ & E\left[|X_t|^2e^{-\beta t}|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right]-\frac{\beta}{2}E\left[\int_{t_0}^{t}|X_s|^2e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right]-\frac{2}{\beta}E\left[\int_{t_0}^{t}|b_0(s)|^2e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have for $t\in[t_0,T]$,

$$E[|X_t|^2e^{-\beta t}|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}]+\frac{\beta}{2}E\left[\int_{t_0}^{t}|X_s|^2e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right]\le|X_{t_0}|^2e^{-\beta t_0}+E\left[\int_{t_0}^{t}(\frac{2}{\beta}|b_0(s)|^2+|\sigma_0(s)|^2)e^{-\beta s}ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right], \tag{14}$$

Since $X_t=X_T,t\in[T,T+u]$, we have

$$|X_T|^2e^{-\beta(T+u)}=|X_T|^2e^{-\beta T}-\beta\int_{T}^{T+u}|X_s|^2e^{-\beta s}ds. \tag{15}$$

By (14) and (15), we can get (12). By BDG inequality and (12), we can get (13). □

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2 *Given $t_0\in[0,T]$ and $\theta_t\in\overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l,t_0)$. Suppose that b and σ both satisfy (B1) and (B2). If there exists a constant $\beta>0$ such that $\frac{2K_1e^{\beta u}}{\beta}\left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)<1$, then SDE (11)*

has a unique solution.

Proof. We still can prove this theorem using a contractive method like Theorem 2.2. Clearly, $\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l,T+u)$ is a Banach space with the norm:

$$\|\cdot\|_{-\beta}=E\left[\int_{t_0-l}^{T+u}|\cdot|^2e^{-\beta s}ds\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\beta>0$ is a constant. By Fubini's theorem, (B1) and (B2), for each $x\in\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l,T+u)$, we can get $b(t,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T)$ and $\sigma(t,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbf{R}^d)$. Thus by Lemma 3.1, we can define a mapping ϕ from $\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l,T+u)$ into itself by setting $X:=\phi(x)$, where X is the solution of the SDE (8) with $b(s,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})$ and $\sigma(s,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})$. For $x^1,x^2\in\overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l,T+u)$, let $X^i:=\phi(x^i),\ \ i=1,2$. We set $\hat{X}_t:=X^1_t-X^2_t$ and $\hat{x}_t:=x^1_t-x^2_t$. By Lemma 3.1, Fubini's theorem and (B1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T+u}\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{X}_s|^2e^{-\beta s}ds\right] &\le \frac{2}{\beta}E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T}|b(s,\{x^1_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})-b(s,\{x^2_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})|^2e^{-\beta s}ds\right]\\
&\quad+E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T}|\sigma(s,\{x^1_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})-\sigma(s,\{x^2_{s+r}\}_{-l\le r\le u})|^2e^{-\beta s}ds\right]\\
&\le K_1\left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T}|\int_{-l}^{u}|\hat{x}_{s+r}|^2\lambda_1(dr)e^{-\beta s}ds\right]\\
&\le K_1\left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)E\left[\int_{-l}^{u}e^{r}\int_{t_0+r}^{T+r}|\hat{x}_v|^2e^{-\beta v}ds\lambda_1(dr)\right]\\
&\le K_1e^{\beta u}\left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)E\left[\int_{t_0-l}^{T+u}|\hat{x}_v|^2e^{-\beta v}ds\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$E\left[\int_{t_0-l}^{T+u}|\hat{X}_s|^2e^{-\beta s}ds\right]\le K_1e^{\beta u}\frac{2}{\beta}\left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)E\left[\int_{t_0-l}^{T+u}|\hat{x}_v|^2e^{-\beta v}ds\right].$$

Thus, if there exists a constant $\beta>0$ such that $K_1e^{\beta u}\frac{2}{\beta}\left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)<1$, then there exists a constant $0<\gamma<1$, such that $\|\hat{X}_s\|_{-\beta}<\sqrt{\gamma}\|\hat{x}_s\|_{-\beta}$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 4

- From Theorem 3.2, it is follows that for a sufficiently small u or a sufficiently small K_1, SDE (11) has a unique solution. But the following example shows solution of SDE (11) may have no solution for some K_1 and u. We consider the following SDE

$$\begin{cases} X_t=X_0+\int_0^t K_1X_{s+u}ds+\int_0^t K_1\mathbf{I}_ddB_s, & t\in[0,T);\\ X_{t_0}=a \ \text{ and } \ X_t=X_T,\ t\in[T,T+u];\\ X_t\in\mathcal{S}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T+u), \end{cases}$$

  Let $u=T$, we have $X_T=a+\int_0^T K_1X_Tds+\int_0^T K_1\mathbf{I}_ddB_s$, then we have $a=E[X_T](1-uK_1)$. If $TK_1=1$ and $a\neq0$, the above SDE will have not solution.

- Theorem 3.2 is an extension of the classic result of SDE with delayed coefficient, where the coefficient depends only on values of solution of the present and the past, not on the future. It also can be considered a development of the SDEs with time advanced coefficients (see Chen and Huang [2]).

By (14) and the same arguments as Propostion 2.3, we can get the following continuous dependence property of the SDEs (11). We omit its proof.

Proposition 3.3 *Given* $t_0 \in [0,T]$, $\theta_t, \theta'_t \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l, t_0)$, $\varphi_t, \varphi'_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbf{R})$, *and* $\phi_t, \phi'_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbf{R}^d)$. *Set* $\hat{b}(s,\cdot) := b(s,\cdot)+\varphi_t$, $\hat{b}'(s,\cdot) := b(s,\cdot)+\varphi'_t$ *and* $\hat{\sigma}(s,\cdot) := \sigma(s,\cdot)+\phi_t, \hat{\sigma}(s,\cdot) := \sigma(s,\cdot)+\phi'_t$. *Suppose that* b *and* σ *both satisfy (B1') and (B2), and there exists a constant* $\beta > 0$ *such that* $\frac{4K_1 e^{\beta u}}{\beta}\left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right) < 1$. *If* X *and* X' *be the solutions of SDEs (11) with coefficients* $(\hat{b},\hat{\sigma})$ *and* $(\hat{b}',\hat{\sigma}')$, *respectively, then for each* $t \in [0,T]$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|X_t - X'_t|^2|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}] + E\left[\int_{t_0}^t |X_s - X'_s|^2 ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right] \\ \leq\ & CE\left[\int_{t_0}^t (|\varphi_s-\varphi'_s|^2 + |\phi_s-\phi'_s|^2)ds + \int_t^{t+u} |X_s - X'_s|^2 ds|\mathcal{F}_{t_0}\right] + C\int_{t_0-l}^{t_0} |\theta_s - \theta'_s|^2 ds \\ & + C|\theta_{t_0} - \theta_{t_0}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ *is a constant only depending on* T, K_1, μ *and* l.

In general, the comparison theorem of SDE (11) may not true (see Yang et al. [16, Example 3.2 and Example 3.3]). But we have the following comparison theorem. For simplicity, we only consider a special case that the coefficent σ_t is not independent on x. In fact, this method also works for SDE (11) with coefficient $b(t,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$ and $\sigma(t,x_t)$.

Proposition 3.4 *Given* $t_0 \in [0,T]$, $\theta_t, \theta'_t \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-l,t_0)$. *Let* $b(s,\cdot), b'(s,\cdot)$ *satisfy (B1) and (B2) and* $x_r, \tilde{x}_r \in \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u)$, $b(t,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$ *and* $b'(t,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$ *are both continuous in* t. *Let* σ_t *be a continuous process and* $\sigma_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbf{R}^d)$. *Suppose that*

(i) $\theta_t \geq \theta'_t$ *for each* $t \in [t_0-l, t_0]$;

(ii) $b'(s,\{x_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}) \geq b'(s,\{x'_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})$ *for* $x, x' \in \mathcal{C}([t_0-l, T+u])$ *satisfying* $x_r \geq x'_r$ *for each* $r \in [t_0-l, T+u]$;

(iii) there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ *such that* $\frac{4K_1 e^{\beta u}}{\beta^2} < 1$.

Let X *and* X' *be the solutions of SDEs (11) with coefficients* (b,σ) *and* (b',σ), *respectively, then for each* $t \in [-l, T+u]$, *we have* $X_t \geq X'_t$.

Proof. We still use an iteration method similar as the proof of Proposition 2.4. By Theorem 3.2, the SDE (11) with parameter $(b'(s,\{X_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}), \sigma_s, \theta')$ has a unique solution X^1. By (ii) and comparison theorem (see Yang et al. [16, Lemma 2.4]), we have $X_t \geq X^1_t$. By Theorem 3.2, the SDE (11) with parameter $(b'(s,\{X^1_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}), \sigma_s, \theta')$ has a unique solution X^2. Since $X_t \geq X^1_t$, by (ii) and comparison theorem, we have $X^1_t \geq X^2_t$. Similarly, for $n > 2$, the SDE (11) with parameter $(b'(s,\{X^{n-1}_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}), \sigma_s, \theta')$ has a unique solution X^n and $X^{n-1}_t \geq X^n_t$. Setting $\hat{X}^n = X^n - X^{n-1}$, by Lemma 3.1 and the same treatment in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$E\left[\int_{t_0-l}^{T+u} \frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{X}^n|^2 e^{-\beta s} ds\right] \leq \frac{2}{\beta} E\left[\int_{t_0}^T |b'(s,\{X^{n-1}_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u}) - b'(s,\{X^{n-2}_{s+r}\}_{-l\leq r\leq u})|^2 e^{-\beta s} ds\right]$$

$$\leq \quad K_1 \frac{2}{\beta} e^{\beta u} E\left[\int_{t_0-l}^{T+u} |\hat{X}_s^{n-1}|^2 e^{-\beta s} ds\right].$$

By (iii) and the above inequality, we can deduce that there exists a constant $0 < \gamma < 1$, such that

$$E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} |\hat{X}_s^n|^2 e^{\beta s} ds\right] \leq \gamma^{n-1} E\left[\int_{-l}^{T+u} |\hat{X}_s^1|^2 e^{\beta s} ds\right]$$

By this inequality, we can get that $(X^n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\overline{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(-l, T+u)$. Then by (B1), we can easily show the limit of $(X^n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the solution of SDE (11) with parameter (b', σ_s, θ'). Thus $X^n \searrow X', dt \times dP - a.s.$ Then we have $X \geq X', dt \times dP - a.s.$ The proof is complete. □

Now, we will make a slightly generalization of Theorem 3.2. Given $t_0 \in [0, T]$, we consider the functions b and σ :

$$b\,(\omega, t, x) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathcal{L}([t_0 - l, T + u]) \longmapsto \mathbf{R},$$

$$\sigma\,(\omega, t, x) : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathcal{L}([t_0 - l, T + u]) \longmapsto \mathbf{R}^d,$$

such that for each $x_t \in \overline{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t_0-l, T+u)$, $(b(t, \{x_r\}_{t_0-l\leq r\leq T+u}))_{t\in[0,T]}$ and $(\sigma(t, \{x_r\}_{t_0-l\leq r\leq T+u}))_{t\in[0,T]}$ are both progressively measurable processes. we make the following assumptions:

- (B1'). There exists a constant $K_2 > 0$, such that $\forall t \in [0, T],\ \forall x_r, \tilde{x}_r \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t_0 - l, T + u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T} |b(t, \{x_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u}) - b(t, \{\tilde{x}_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u})|^2 dt\right] \\ + \quad & E\left[\int_{t_0}^{T} |\sigma(t, \{x_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u}) - \sigma(t, \{\tilde{x}_r\}_{-l\leq r\leq T+u})|^2 dt\right] \leq K_2 E\left[\int_{t_0-l}^{T+u} |x_r - \tilde{x}_r|^2 dr\right]; \end{aligned}$$

- (B2'). $b(t, 0) \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T)$, and $\sigma(t, 0) \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbf{R}^d)$.

Given $t_0 \in [0, T]$ and $\theta_t \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t_0 - l, t_0)$, we consider the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t b(s, \{X_r\}_{t_0-l\leq r\leq T+u}) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(s, \{X_r\}_{t_0-l\leq r\leq T+u}) dB_s, \quad t \in [t_0, T); \\ X_t = \theta_t,\ t \in [t_0 - l, t_0] \ \text{ and } \ X_t = X_T,\ t \in [T, T + u]; \\ X_t \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t_0, T + u), \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

The similar proof as Theorem 3.2 give the following Theorem 3.3, which shows that for a sufficiently small $T + u - t_0$ or for a sufficiently small K_3, SDE (16) has a unique solution. We omit its proof here.

Theorem 3.5 *Given $t_0 \in [0, T]$, and $\theta_t \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t_0 - l, t_0)$. Suppose that b and σ both satisfy (B1') and (B2'). If there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $\frac{2K_2 e^{\beta(T+u-t_0)}}{\beta}\left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right) < 1$, then SDE (16) has a unique solution.*

4 A duality between BSDEs and SDEs time advanced and delayed coefficients

In this section, we will give a duality between BSDEs and SDEs with time advanced and delayed coefficients. Given $\xi_t \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u), \eta_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d)$, we consider the following linear BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = \xi_T + \int_t^T (b_s Y_s + \bar{b}_s Y_{s-l} + E[\underline{b}_{s+u} Y_{s+u}|\mathcal{F}_s] + \sigma_s Z_s + \bar{\sigma}_s Z_{s-l} + E[\underline{\sigma}_{s+u} Z_{s+u}|\mathcal{F}_s] + c_s)ds \\ \qquad - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \ t \in [0, T); \\ (Y_t, Z_t) = (\xi_t, \eta_t), \ t \in [T, T+u] \ \text{ and } \ (Y_t, Z_t) = (Y_0, 0), \ t \in [-l, 0); \\ (Y_t, Z_t) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u) \times \overline{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-l, T+u; \mathbf{R}^d). \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

and linear SDE

$$\begin{cases} X_r = X_t + \int_t^r (b_s X_s + \underline{b}_s X_{s-u} + E[\bar{b}_{s+l} X_{s+l}|\mathcal{F}_s])ds \\ \qquad + \int_t^r (\sigma_s X_s + \underline{\sigma}_s X_{s-u} + E[\bar{\sigma}_{s+l} X_{s+l}|\mathcal{F}_s])dB_s, \quad r \in (t, T]; \\ X_t = 1 \ \text{ and } \ X_r = 0, \ r \in (T, T+l], \ \text{ and } \ X_r = 0, \ r \in [t-u, t); \\ X_r \in S^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t, T+l), \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

where $b_t, \bar{b}_t, \underline{b}_t, c_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+u+l)$ and $\sigma_t, \bar{\sigma}_t, \underline{\sigma}_t \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+u+l; \mathbf{R}^d)$ are all uniformly bounded. We can easily check that the coefficient of BSDE (14) satisfies (A1) and (A2). By Theorem 2.2, for a sufficiently small time delay l, BSDE (14) has a unique solution. We also can check that the coefficients of SDE (15) satisfies (B1) and (B2). Even though SDE (15) is slightly different from SDE (11), by the same argument as Theorem 3.2, for a sufficiently small time advance l, we can show SDE (15) has a unique solution.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose that BSDE (14) and SDE (15) both have a unique solution, respectively. Then we have*

$$Y_t = E\left[X_T \xi_T + \int_T^{T+u} X_{s-u}(\underline{b}_s \xi_s + \underline{\sigma}_s \eta_s)ds + \int_t^{t+l} X_s(\bar{b}_s Y_{s-l} + \bar{\sigma}_s Z_{s-l})ds + \int_t^T c_s X_s ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right].$$

In particular, if $E\int_0^l X_s \bar{b}_s ds \neq 1$, *we have the following closed formula*

$$Y_0 = \left(1 - E\left[\int_0^l X_s \bar{b}_s ds\right]\right)^{-1} E\left[X_T \xi_T + \int_T^{T+u} X_{s-u}(\underline{b}_s \xi_s + \underline{\sigma}_s \eta_s)ds + \int_0^T c_s X_s ds\right]. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Applying Itô's formula to $X_s Y_s$ for $s \in [t, T]$ and taking conditional expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E[X_T Y_T|\mathcal{F}_t] - X_t Y_t \\ = \ & E\left[\int_t^T (\underline{b}_s X_{s-u} Y_s - E\left[\underline{b}_{s+u} X_s Y_{s+u}|\mathcal{F}_s\right])ds + \int_t^T (E\left[\bar{b}_{s+l} X_{s+l} Y_s|\mathcal{F}_s\right] - \bar{b}_s X_s Y_{s-l})ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ & + E\left[\int_t^T (\underline{\sigma}_s X_{s-u} Z_s - E\left[\underline{\sigma}_{s+u} X_s Z_{s+u}|\mathcal{F}_s\right])ds + \int_t^T (E\left[\bar{\sigma}_{s+l} X_{s+l} Z_s|\mathcal{F}_s\right] - \bar{\sigma}_s X_s Z_{s-l})ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ & - E\left[\int_t^T c_s X_s ds|\mathcal{F}_t\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= E\left[\int_t^T (\underline{b}_s X_{s-u} Y_s - \underline{b}_{s+u} X_s Y_{s+u}) ds + \int_t^T (\bar{b}_{s+l} X_{s+l} Y_s - \bar{b}_s X_s Y_{s-l}) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\
&\quad + E\left[\int_t^T (\underline{\sigma}_s X_{s-u} Z_s - \underline{\sigma}_{s+u} X_s Z_{s+u}) ds + \int_t^T (\bar{\sigma}_{s+l} X_{s+l} Z_s - \bar{\sigma}_s X_s Z_{s-l}) ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\
&\quad - E\left[\int_t^T c_s X_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\
&= E\left[\left(\int_t^T \underline{b}_s X_{s-u} Y_s ds - \int_{t+u}^{T+u} \underline{b}_s X_{s-u} Y_s ds\right) + \left(\int_{t+l}^{T+l} \bar{b}_s X_s Y_{s-l} ds - \int_t^T \bar{b}_s X_s Y_{s-l} ds\right) | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\
&\quad + E\left[\left(\int_t^T \underline{\sigma}_s X_{s-u} Z_s ds - \int_{t+u}^{T+u} \underline{\sigma}_s X_{s-u} Z_s ds\right) + \left(\int_{t+l}^{T+l} \bar{\sigma}_s X_s Z_{s-l} - \int_t^T \bar{\sigma}_s X_s Z_{s-l} ds\right) | \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\
&\quad - E\left[\int_t^T c_s X_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $X_t = 1, X_s = 0$ for $s \in (T, T+l]$ and $X_s = 0$ for $s \in [t-u, t)$, we can get

$$
Y_t = E\left[X_T \xi_T + \int_T^{T+u} X_{s-u}(\underline{b}_s \xi_s + \underline{\sigma}_s \eta_s) ds + \int_t^{t+l} X_s(\bar{b}_s Y_{s-l} + \bar{\sigma}_s Z_{s-l}) ds + \int_t^T c_s X_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right].
$$

Since $Y_s = Y_0, Z_s = 0$ for $s \in [-l, 0)$, we have

$$
Y_0 = E\left[X_T \xi_T + \int_T^{T+u} X_{s-u}(\underline{b}_s \xi_s + \underline{\sigma}_s \eta_s) ds + Y_0 \int_0^l X_s \bar{b}_s ds + \int_0^T c_s X_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t\right].
$$

From this, we can get (16). The proof is complete. □

References

[1] Briand P, Elie R. A simple constructive approach to quadratic BSDEs with or without delay. Stochastic processes and their applications, 2013, 123(8), 2921-2939.

[2] Chen L, Huang J. Stochastic Maximum Principle for Controlled Backward Delayed System via Advanced Stochastic Differential Equation. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 2014, 1-24.

[3] Cheridito, P., Nam, K. BSEs, BSDEs and fixed point problems. arXiv:1410.1247.(2014) to appear in Ann. Probab.

[4] Cordoni, F., Di Persio, L., Maticiuc, L., Zălinescu, A. A stochastic approach to path–dependent nonlinear Kolmogorov equations via BSDEs with time-delayed generators and applications to finance. arXiv:1602.05793. (2016)

[5] Delong Ł, Imkeller P. Backward stochastic differential equations with time delayed generators–results and counterexamples. The Annals of Applied Probability, 2010, 20(4), 1512-1536.

[6] Delong Ł, Imkeller P. On Malliavins differentiability of BSDEs with time delayed generators driven by Brownian motions and Poisson random measures. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 2010, 120(9), 1748-1775.

[7] Jacod J, Shiryaev A. Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Springer, 2013.
[8] Mohammed S E A. Stochastic differential systems with memory: theory, examples and applications. Stochastic analysis and related topics VI. Birkhäuser Boston, 1998, 1-77.
[9] Pardoux E., Peng S. Adapted solution of backward stochastic differential equations. Systems Control Lett. 1990, 14, 51-61.
[10] Peng S. Nonlinear expectations, nonlinear evaluations and risk measures, in: Stochastic Methods in Finance, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1856, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 165-253.
[11] Peng S, Yang Z. Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations. The Annals of Probability, 2009, 37(3), 877-902.
[12] Wu H, Wang W, Ren J. Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. Statistics and Probability Letters, 2012, 82(3): 672-682.
[13] Xu X. Anticipated backward doubly stochastic differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2013, 220: 53-62.
[14] Yang Z, Elliott R J. Some properties of generalized anticipated backward stochastic differential equations. Electronic Communications in Probability, 2013, 18, 1-10.
[15] Yang Z, Elliott R J. A converse comparison theorem for anticipated BSDEs and related non-linear expectations. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 2013, 123(2), 275-299.
[16] Yang Z, Mao X, Yuan C. Comparison theorem of one-dimensional stochastic hybrid delay systems. Systems and Control Letters, 2008, 57(1), 56-63.
[17] Zhou Q, Ren Y. Reflected backward stochastic differential equations with time delayed generators. Statistics and Probability Letters, 2012, 82(5), 979-990.
[18] Zong G. Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations driven by the Teugels martingales. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2014, 412(2), 989-997.